**Figure 5K: Summary Chart of Speaking Performance Expectations**

**Speaking Rubric of the WIDA™ Consortium\***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Speaking Rubric of the WIDA Consortium**  **-Student Review-** | | | |
| **Task Level** | **Linguistic Complexity** | **Vocabulary Usage** | **Language Control** |
| **1 Entering** | Single words, set phrases or chunks of memorized language | Basic common vocabulary content areas | When using memorized language, is generally comprehensible; communication is greatly difficult when using unfamiliar words |
| **2**  **Emerging** | Phrases, short oral sentences | General language related to the content area; searching for vocabulary when going beyond the familiar | When using simple language, is understandable; communication may be difficult to understand due to phonics or basic errors when going beyond short, simple sentences |
| **3**  **Developing** | Simple and expanded oral sentences; responses show adds some detail | Some specific language related to the content area; may grope (search for) for needed vocabulary at times | When communicating in sentences, is generally comprehensible and fluent; communication at times be difficult to understand due to groping for language structures especially when attempting more complex oral communication |
| **4**  **Expanding** | A variety sentence lengths of varying complexity; cohesion (fitting together) used to provide detail and explain | Specific language related to the content area; groping for needed vocabulary may be occasional (every now and then) seen | At all times is comprehensible and fluent, phonics and grammar errors that don’t impede the overall meaning of the communication may appear at times |
| **5**  **Bridging** | A variety of sentence lengths of varying complexity in extended taking; responses show cohesion and organization used to support main ideas | Specific language related to the content area; vocabulary comes easily and presents new words when asked that relate to content | At all times is easy to understand and fluent comparability to that of English proficient peers; errors don’t impede (block) communication and may be typical of those an English proficient peer might make |

Adapted from *ACCESS for ELLs*® *Training Toolkit* and *Test Administration Manuals, Series 103 (2007-08)*

\*English proficiency level 6 is not included in the Speaking Rubric as it is reserved for students whose oral English

is comparable to that of their English-proficient peers.

**Figure 5L: Summary Chart of Writing Performance Expectations**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Writing Rubric of the WIDA Consortium (Grades 1-12)**  **-Student Review-** | | | |
| **Task Level** | **Linguistic Complexity** | **Vocabulary Usage** | **Language Control** |
| **1 Entering** | Single words, set phrases or chunks of simple language; varying amounts of text may be copied or adapted; adapted text contains original language. | Usage of highest frequency vocabulary from school setting and content areas. | Generally comprehensible when text is copied or adapted from model or source text; comprehensibility may be significantly impeded in original text. |
| **2**  **Emerging** | Phrases and short sentences; varying amount of text may be copied or adapted; some attempt at organization may be evidenced. | Usage of general language related to the content area; lack of vocabulary may be evident. | Generally comprehensible when text is adapted from model or source text, or when original text is limited to simple text; comprehensibility may be often impeded by errors. |
| **3**  **Developing** | Simple and expanded sentences that show emerging complexity used to provide detail. | Usage of general and some specific language related to the content area; lack of needed vocabulary may be evident. | Generally comprehensible when writing in sentences; comprehensibility may from time to time be impeded by errors when attempting to produce more complex text. |
| **4**  **Expanding** | A variety of sentence lengths of varying linguistic complexity; emerging cohesion used to provide detail and clarity. | Usage of specific and some technical language related to the content area; lack of needed vocabulary may be occasionally evident. | Generally comprehensible at all times, errors don’t impede the overall meaning; such errors may reflect first language interference. |
| **5**  **Bridging** | A variety of sentencelengths of varying linguistic complexity in a single organized paragraph or in extended text; cohesion and organization | Usage of technical language related to the content area; evident facility with needed vocabulary. | Approaching comparability to that of English proficient peers; errors don’t impede comprehensibility. |
| **6 Reaching\*** | A variety of sentence lengths of varying linguistic complexity in a single tightly organized paragraph or in well-organized extended text; tight cohesion and organization | Consistent use of just the right word in just the right place; precise Vocabulary Usage in general, specific or technical language. | Has reached comparability to that of English proficient peers functioning at the “proficient” level in state-wide assessments. |

Adapted from *ACCESS for ELLs*® *Training Toolkit* and *Test Administration Manuals, Series 103 (2007-08)*

\*Level 6 is reserved for students whose written English is comparable to that of their English-proficient peers.